**Third United Nations International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy**

## Genetic Effect of Small Doses of Ionising Radiation

N.P.Dubinin, M.A.Arsenieva,
Y. L.Glembotsky, L.G.Dubinina,
V.M.Kozlov, V.A.Shevchenko.

The estimate of the genetic effect of small doses of radiation on human heredity is a very complicated problem. Its solution requires preliminary model experiments on various objects as well as irradiation experiments on human cells in tissue cultures.

### The cumulation of genetic effects of fractioned and chronic irradiation with small doses

The genetic effect of small doses of gamma-rays (Co-60), fast neutrons with the energy of 1 Mev and protons of 126-130 Mev has been studied on the pattern of lethal and sublethal sex-linked mutations in Drosophila melanogaster.

These experiments had to solve the following problems:

a) the comparative mutagenic effect of $\gamma$ -rays, fast neutrons with the energy of 1 Mev and protons of 126-130 Mev at the level of small doses of radiation;

b) the comparative effect of a single and fractioned irradiation with small doses;

c) the dependency of the frequency of mutations induced by small doses from the stage of spermatogenesis;

d) whether 5 rads and 2 rads are threshold doses for genetic effect;

e) is there the cumulation of mutagenic effects at such low doses and big intervals between irradiation treatments as well as at the chronic irradiation.

Principal experiments were aimed at discovering the mutagenic effect of a single irradiation with the intensity of 20 rads and fractioned irradiation of the same intensity in four irradiation treatments with the dose of 5 rads in each treatment with intervals of an hour and a half. In experiments with fast neutrons, in addition to it, the mutagenic effect of fractioned irradiation was studied as well, the dose of each of four treatments being 2 rads, the whole dose of

irradiation - 5 rads and the intervals between them being one hour and a half.

$\gamma$ -raying from Co-60 was performed in a specially designed irradiation installation with the fixed distance between the Co-60 preparation and the irradiated point in gelatinous ampules with flies (24 cm). At the beginning of the operation the intensity of the irradiation was equal to 0.93 rad per minute, the duration of the irradiation with the dose of 5 rads being 5 minutes 24 seconds. With the decay of Co-60 and the decrease of its intensity the duration of treatments was being correspondingly amended.

The irradiation with fast neutrons at a level of 1 Mev was performed in one of the reactors of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR with the efficiency of 1000 kV. Flies were irradiated at the moment of the emergence of the beam from the beam tube. The dose rate in the first experiments was 115 rads per hour, thus, the treatment with a dose of 5 rads required 2 minutes 36 seconds. In later experiments the dose rate was $\sim 60$ rads per hour which made it possible to achieve high accuracy in treating with even such small doses as 2 rads.

The irradiation with protons of 126-130 Mev was performed in a synchrocyclotron of the United Institute of Nuclear Research in Dubna. The intensity was $\sim 0.3$ rad per second, the 5 rad treatment lasting 17 seconds.

The genetic effect of the small dose irradiation was expressed as a sum of induced lethal and sublethal mutations. The whole number amounted to 341 letuals and 30 sublethals in the experiments and 42 lethals and 1 sublethal in the control. All mutations were checked by corresponding control crosses.

The experiments were carried out on the wild strain of the D-32 type which had been specially bred to obtain the low spontaneous mutability in sex-linked recessive lethals.

During 1959-1962 the occurrence of such spontaneous mutations was: in the progeny of males 1-3 days old - $0.050 \pm 0.02\%$ , in the progeny of males 7-8 days old - $0.079 \pm 0.028\%$. In 1963 a certain increase of the sponta-

neous mutability of the D-32 strain was observed: in the
progeny of males 1-3 days old - 0.13 ± 0.035%, in the pro-
geny of males 7-8 days old - 0.11 ± 0.039%, in the progeny
of males 17-20 days old - 0.06 ± 0.023%. The corresponding data
of spontaneous mutation occurrence were substracted in the cal-
culations for all experimental treatments.

The data on the effect of radiation are shown in
Table 1. It is seen that the dose of 5 rads is not a threshold
dose for $\gamma$ -rays, fast neutrons of 1 Mev and protons of
126-130 Mev because the fractioned irradiation consisting of
four 5 rad treatments resulted in the cumulative mutagenic
effect similar to one which is usually obtained after the cor-
responding treatment at a level of 20 rads. Thus, a single
$\gamma$ -raying at a level of 20 rads induced 0.105 ± 0.040% sex-
linked recessive lethal and sublethal mutations in spermia,
while four successive treatments each at a level of 5 rads in-
duced 0.162 ± 0.051 % mutations. The corresponding data for
fast neutrons were 0.259 ± 0.060% and 0.242 ± 0.058% . The same
phenomena are observed for these two types of radiations with
regard to their mutagenic effect on spermatids.

The data in Table 1 show that even the dose of 2 rads
is not a threshold dose for fast neutrons. For example, four
successive treatments of spermatids at such doses with inter-
vals of one hour and a half resulted in a greater number of
induced mutations. A number of mutations after the treatment
at a total level of 8 rads approximately conforms to 40% of
a number of mutations expected at a level of 20 rads.

The similar treatment of spermia and spermatogonia showed
some increase of a number of mutations but the data obtained
are not statistically significant. The proton treatment of
spermia resulted in increase of a number of mutations , which
may be regarded as a statistically significant one.

The mutagenic effect of small doses of fast neutrons
(per one rad) is 1.5 - 3 times higher than that of $\gamma$ -rays,
the mutagenic effect of 126-130 Mev protions is somewhat lower
(0.5 - 0.8) than that of $\gamma$ -rays. The relative mutagenic
effect of small doses of these three ionising sources is

equivalent to the relative mutagenic effect of high doses
of these types of radiation. For example, the mutagenic effect
(estimated on sex-linked recessive lethals) of 1 Mev fast
neutrons at a level of 1000 rads is 1.5 times higher than that
of $\gamma$ -rays, and the mutagenic effect of 126-130 Mev protons
is 0.4 - 0.8 of the mutagenic effect of $\gamma$ -rays (1,2).

The comparison of a number of sex-linked recessive lethals
and sublethals per 1 rad/$10^7$ gametes induced by the irradiation
with small doses and by a single irradiation at a level of
1000 rads (Fig.1) shows that small doses (20 rads in one treat-
ment or fractioned irradiation, 5 rads in each treatment) pro-
duce far more point mutations, which are mainly recessive
lethals, than the high doses. This fact proves that the genetic
danger of small doses of irradiation is much greater than it
could be expected from extrapolating data obtained on
high doses.

E.Guyenot and oth. (3) performed a fractioned irradia-
tion of Drosophila males with X-rays at the dose of 20 rads;
they induced per 1 rad/$10^7$ gametes 456 sex-linked recessive
lethals; T.Shiomi and oth.(4) performed a single irradiation
of Drosophila males with X-rays at the dose of 8 rads and in-
duced per 1 rad/$10^7$ gametes 537 similar mutations. These data
correlate with the corresponding data on small doses of
$\gamma$ -rays obtained in our experiments: 525 in a single treatment
and 810 in a fractioned treatment at the dose of 20 rads.

In our experiments a single treatment of spermatogonia
with $\gamma$ -rays at a level of 1000 rads produced only 20 sex-
linked recessive lethals and sublethals induced per 1 rad/$10^7$
gametes while a single treatment of spermatogonia with
1 Mev fast neutrons at a level of 20 rads and a   fractioned
treatment at a level of 8 rads produced 420 and 75-260 of
them respectively. The irradiation effect of spermatogonia is
the most durable  , so the increased sensitivity of this stage
of spermogenesis to the mutagenic effect of fast neutrons
makes the latter particularly dangerous.

The chronic irradiation with $\gamma$ -rays was performed in
two variations. In the first variation, eggs, larvae and pu-

pae were treated. In the second variation, flying males were
treated. In both variations of this experiment the chronic
irradiation lasted 11-13 days, the $\gamma$ -ray dose in different
series was 8.3 - 10.0 rads daily, the average cumulative dose
of the chronic treatment being 108 rads for the period of de-
velopment and 113 rads for imago males. The temperature of all
$\gamma$ -ray treatments was 20-22°C.

During these experiments the rate of sex-linked reces-
sive lethal mutations induced by the chronic irradiation
during the development period was equal $0.224\pm0.05$ per cent,
i.e. 207 lethals occurred for 1 rad/$10^7$ gametes. During the
irradiation on the imago stage $0.183\pm0.07$ per cent of lethals
were induced, i.e. 102 lethals for 1 rad/$10^7$ gametes. These
data correlate with those cited by D.E.Uphoff and C.Stern (5)
and W.P.Spencer and C.Stern (6), where a number of sex-linked
recessive lethals induced by the chronic irradiation of Dro-
sophila males at the doses of 50-100 rads per 1 rad/$10^7$ ga-
metes was equal to 155-293. It is evident that there is the
cumulation of mutations induced by small doses.

### The comparative cytogenetic radiosensitivity of monkey and mice

Radiogenetic and radiocytologic studies on different
mammal species are of great importance both per se and for
an estimate of the effect of radiation on human heredity.

Many scientists successfully used mice for such studies.
An important step was made with the discovery of the fact
that hereditary structures in cells of monkeys are more sensi-
tive to the radiation effect than those of mice.

New experiments, which are described below, were under-
taken to study the cytogenetic effect of radiation at a level
of 10-25 rads (the dose rate of 10 r/m) on the spermatocytes I.
The quantity and types of chromosome rearrangements were ana-
lyzed by metaphases and anaphases in meiotic divisions. The
monkey' gonads were irradiated locally, mice received the
whole-body irradiation. Monkeys were castrated and mice were
killed on dates which corresponded with the duration of meio-

tic stages in monkey (7) and mice (8). Three later meiotic
stages were studied: late pachynema, diplonema and diakinesis
+ metaphase I. The frequency of chromosome rearrangements in
control monkeys was 0.74 % and in control mice - 0.83 %. After
the irradiation the frequency of chromosome rearrangements in
the first meiotic division in monkey was higher than that in
mice. The stage of diakinesis + metaphase I proved the most
sensitive stage of the meiotic prophase to the effect of ioni-
sing radiation.

Fig.2 shows the data obtained. It is established that the
higher cytogenetic radiosensitivity of monkeys as compared
with mice retains its validity at a level of 25 and 10 r
as well. It is interesting to note that there is a great rela-
tive effect of the dose of 10 r both for monkey and mice at
all investigated stages of the prophase of meiosis. For example,
at the stage of diakinesis + metaphase I a number of indu-
ced chromosome rearrangements per 1 r in monkeys is: at the
dose of 25 r - 0.215 %, at the dose of 10 r - 0.328 %.

When we add these new data on the cytogenetic effect of
X-rays at the dose of 10 and 25 r with earlier data on the
effect of 50 r and more (9, 10, 11, 12) we obtain the degree
of the cytogenetic radiosensitivity of different phases of
the prophase of meiosis in monkeys and mice summed up in
Table IIa.

In all above-mentioned experiments the asymmetric rear-
rangements of chromosomes were studied. These rearrangements
do not pass the II meiotic division and are not transmitted
to spermatids and spermia. It is of great interest to observe
symmetric translocations which pass the meiosis and are found
in gametes. These rearrangements can be recognized by their
configuration and by the quantity of bivalents in the metaphase
of meiosis (13). Mice have 20 bivalents at the normal complex
(one of their oivalents consists of a chromosome pair X-Y),
monkeys have 21 bivalents (among them a pair X-Y). In this
experiment animals were irradiated with the dose of 50 r,
monkeys being castrated 24 hours later, mice - 21 hours. The
number of symmetric translocations is shown in Table IIb.

The quantity of chromosome rearrangements, which were
transmitted to the progeny and which are known to cause the

386

— 7 —

partial sterility in it, the destruction of linkage groups,
the position effect and other changes also showed that the
radiogenetic sensitivity in monkeys is 2 times higher than
that in mice.

The fact that the difference in cytogenetic radiosensi-
tivity of monkey and mice, proved by our experiments, is
observed at small doses of radiation as well is of great im-
portance. It is evident that the data on the effect of small
doses of radiation on the heredity of man can be extrapolated
from other mammal species only with great caution. The compa-
rison with the experimental data on man's cells in tissue cul-
ture shows that the genetic radiosensitivity of monkeys is
nearer to man as compared with mice. For example, the doubling
dose for diakinesis in mice should be 8 rads, for diplonema -
10 rads, for pachynema - 17 rads. Meanwhile, the corresponding
dosage for monkey is: 3 rads, 4 rads and 6 rads.

### The effect of small doses of radiation on human cells
### in tissue culture

The problem of an estimate of the effect of small doses
of radiation on cells cannot be solved without the knowledge
of the total time and duration of separate phases of the cell
cycle because different phases of the cell cycle are characte-
rized by different sensitivity. The normal cycle of man's eup-
loid cells in culture lasts 24 hours, the duration of phases
in hours being as follows: $G_1$ - 9; S - 8; $G_2$ - 6; M - 1 (14).
It is known that X-raying at a level of 25 and 50 r extends the
duration of the cell cycle to 70 hours (15, 16, 17).

In order to study the cytogenetic effect of the doses of
5, 10, 15 and 20 r it is necessary to know their effect on
the cell cycle. Presently there are no published data of this
kind. In the course of analysis of the mitotic index by counting
colchicinized metaphases after the irradiation of human cells
in the initial culture with different doses of gamma-rays
the dependence was showed between the duration of the cell
cycle and the level of doses. Fig.3 shows that the gamma-ray
doses of 5-20 r practically do not influence the mitotic ac-
tivity of human cells in culture and consequently do not change

386

the duration of the cell cycle. The treatment with the doses
of 25-50 r suppresses the mitotic activity of human cells in
tissue cultures, extending the cell cycle to 60 hours.

In order to analyze the chromosome rearrangements in-
duced by the doses of 5-20 r the man's cell cultures were
fixed 2, 6, 10, 14 , 18, 30 and 45 hours after the irradia-
tion. Under the conditions of the normal cycle the fixation
after 2 and 6 hours gave us data on the quantity of rearrange-
ments induced at the irradiated presynthetic phase ($G_1$);
the fixation after 10 and 14 hours - at the phase S (the syn-
thetic phase); the fixation after 18 hours - at the phase $G_2$
(the post-synthetic phase). The fixation after 30 and 45 hours
coincides with the second mitosis after the irradiation. At
anphases we analyze only asymmetric rearrangements which die
during mitosis. Therefore such radiation induced rearrange-
ments are not expected to be observed in the second mitosis.

The analysis of chromosome rearrangements showed that
all these suggestions were right. The fixation 2 and 6 hours
after the treatment resulted only in chromatid rearrange-
ments. Chromosome translocations were observed after 10 hours.
The fixation after 30 hours resulted in the quantity of chro-
mosome rearrangements which did not exceed that in the
control.

The treatment with all above-mentioned doses, beginning
from the dose of 5 r, resulted in the significant increase
of the quantity of both chromatid and chromosome rearrange-
ments. The fixation 5 hours after the treatment gave the fol-
lowing picture of radiation induced rearrangements (per
cent per 1 rad): the dose of 5 r - 0.52 ; 10 r - 0.11;
15 r - 0.13 ; 20 r - 0.10 . The average percentage for the sta-
ge $G_2$ is 0.21 % per 1 rad. The fixation after 14 hours gave:
the dose of 5 r - 0.11; 10 r - 0.26; 15 r - 0.07; 20 r - 0.09.
The average percentage for the stage S is 0.13 % of rearrange-
ments per 1 rad. The fixation 14 hours after the treatment
which corresponds to the stage $C_1$, resulted in the percentage
of rearrangements per 1 rad averaging 0.25 %. The medium
for all treatments amounts to 0.20 % of rearrangements per
1 rad. The quantity of chromosome rearrangements in the

control averages 1.50 %. Thus, the dose of radiation which
doubles the number of mutations in human cells in tissue cul-
tures as compared with the natural mutation process is equal
to 7.5 rads.

Such level of the doubling dose obtained for the firs':
time as a result of the analysis of principal phases of the
cell cycle at small doses of radiation coincides on the whole
with the data obtained by N.P.Dubinin (18) and N.P.Dubinin,
Yu.Ya.Kerkis and L.I.Lebedeva (19), when the doubling dose was
showed as equal to 10 r. It is proved now that the level of
the doubling dose is even lower. The most prolonged pre-synthe-
tic phase ($G_1$) requires 6 rads.

## The problem of protection against small doses of
## ionizing radiation

There are numerous publications (see 20) on the problem
of chemical protection against the damaging effect of ionizing
radiation both by lowering the lethal dose for an organism
and by partial removal of the effect of radiation on here-
ditary structures. However, the problem of the efficiency of
protection with regard to dosage has not been studied at the
cytological level. According to the widely accepted opinion,
the increase of the dose is accompanied with the monotonous
decrease of the efficiency of protection at the cellular level
(see 21).

We investigated the protective efficiency of AET
( $\beta$ -amino-ethilisothiouronium), serotonin and streptomycin
introduced into human cells in tissue culture prior to their
irradiation with $\gamma$ -rays with dose of 25, 50 and 100 r. The
cytogenetic effect of gamma-rays on human cells in tissue
cultures is about 50 per cent lower as compared with that of
X-rays (17). Thus the irradiation with $\gamma$ -rays at a level
of 25 r produces the same genetic effect as that with X-rays
at a level of 12.5 r.

There were 106206 anaphases studied in the experiment.
Such scale of the experiment provided significant results.
The latter included all types of chromatid and chromosome

386

rearrangements in all principal phases of the cell cycle ($G_1$; S; $G_2$) and separate parts of the cycle within the phases. As the duration of the cell cycle with the cells are irradiated with 25, 50 and 100 r, is 60-70 hours, the cultures were fixed after the treatment for 11 periods from 2 to 70 hours. The radiosensitivity curves, being similar in some features, differed, depending on different doses of irradiation, in the quantity of chromosome rearrangements and in the rate of the replacement of chromatid rearrangements by the chromosome ones. Similar curves were obtained - when the protective substances were used 20-30 minutes before the irradiation with the doses of 25, 50 and 100 r. The experiments showed that, when the protective substances were used, there were different responses of chromosomes to the irradiation with different doses of $\delta$ -rays.

Protective substances applied before 50 r treatment produced an evident picture of protection. The degree of this protection depended on phases of the cell cycle. Average data for the whole cycle in the 50 r treatment variation were: for serotonin - 43 per cent of protection; for streptomycin - 43 per cent; for AET - 37 per cent. The maximum protection was observed at the phase of synthesis (S). The corresponding data for this phase were: 60;57 and 45 per cent. In the 100 r treatment some protective effect was also produced, but the degree of that was not the same. The efficiency of streptomycin was evidently lower, it did not exceed 25 per cent; the efficiency of serotonin was almost the same - 40 per cent of protection;the efficiency of AET proved higher - 50 per cent of protection.

The irradiation with $\delta$ -rays at the dose of 25 r resulted in absolutely different data. Serotonin showed zero efficiency for the whole cell cycle; the same was the result of the pre-treatment application of streptomycin and AET.

Thus protective chemicals can modify the effect of the irradiation of hereditary structures in human cells with certain doses. Protective compounds produce different effect on the irradiation with different doses. At the same time there is an unexpected fact that the protective substances applied provided no protection to the hereditary structures when cells were treated with small doses of radiation.

356

These data require thorough studies in those directions.
It is necessary to try more groups of protective chemicals;
to extend the knowledge of the relation between protective
effects and the dose of irradiation at the choromosomal level;
to reveal the forms of this relation not only for chromosome
rearrangements but for gene mutations as well. The corrobora-
tion of the non-efficiency of available forms of chemical pro-
tection of hereditary structures against the effect of small
radiation doses would mean that radiobiology is going to face
a new important task. It is necessary, developing our know-
ledge of initial mechanisms of the radiation effect on
mutagenesis, to find the new means of the protection of here-
dity against the chronic influence of small doses of radia-
tion.

### The genetic effect of the radionuclide Sr-90

It is known that strontium-90, cesium-137 and carbon-14
are the most dangerous for living organisms of all radiactive
isotopes left after atomic and thermonuclear explosions. The
mutagenic effect of Cs-137 and C-14 is out of doubt. The ge-
netic effect of Sr-90 is a much more complicated problem.
The determination of the genetic effect of Sr-90 on any ob-
ject of studies is of principal importance . In order to approach
the problem Sr-90 was studied with regard to its effect on the
unicellate green algae Chlorella. There were four strains used
in the study bred at the Laboratory of radiogenetics of the
Institute of biophysics of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR;
two of them - LARG-1 and 138 belong to species Chl.vulgaris;
the strain LARG-2 belongs to species Chl. ellipsoideal and
the strain 36 - to species Chl. terricola species. LARG-1
is the most big-celled strain of the four. The dry weight of
$100.10^6$ cells of this strain is 3.20 mg; it is followed by the
strain 138-0.70 mg; LARG-2 - 0.56 mg and the strain 36-0.40 mg.

The material from initial trays was seeded into 250 ml
conic flasks, containing 100-150 ml of the Tamiaja medium;
after the preliminary growing at the light intensity of
about 8000 luxes for 3-5 days ($t^o$ = 30-34$^o$C) the culture was
synchronized and prepared for treatments (22). The compaction
of the suspension of Chlorella cells was brought to 10 mill/ml

after which 1 ml of the suspension was treated with 1 ml of
the active solution of Strontium nitrate-90. The dose of
$\beta$ -radiation was defined from the activity of strontium-90
in 1 ml of the treated suspension with the compaction of 5 mill/ml
of cells. The cultures were kept in darkness for 24 hours,
then the cells were washed to free them of strontium-90 (ex-
ternally) and seeded into the agarized medium. The survival
data were determined microscopically ( x 200 - x 400) by coun-
ting those cellular regions which were able to develop viable
clones on the agar. Mutations were counted after seeding cells
on Petri dishes, 500-1000 colonies on each dish. The seeded
cultures were grown in luminostates under the above-
mentioned conditions.

The survival data for all strains are shown in Fig.4 .
It is seen that all strains have clearly expressed sigmoid
'dose-effect' curves. The Glocker's index for LARG-1 and
LARG-2 strains was about 20. Exponential survival curves which
had been obtained earlier as a result of irradiation with
X-rays of the same strains showed that Chlorella is a haploid
organism.

The data on the mutability of the LARG-1 strain treated
with strontium-90 are shown in Table III. The mutational nature
of principal changes, shown in Table III, was studied in spe-
cial experiments. The irradiation with X-rays resulted in cur-
ves of direct dependence of mutability rate on a dose. The
strontium-90 treatment showed that the increase of a dose re-
sulted in the increase of the mulability rate in proportion
to some part of the dose.

It is found that coefficients of strontium-90 accumula-
tion are growing higher with the increase of the activity of
cell suspensions. For example, at the activity of 0.1 mccu-
rie/ml the strain LARG-1 had the coefficient of accumulation
equal to 514 , the strain LARG-2 - 3240; at the activity
of 1.0 mccurie/ml the corresponding coefficients were 821 and
5070; at the activity of 10.0 mccurie - 1710 and 6200. So
high accumulation coefficients lead to the high intracellular
irradiation.

386

Thus, the Glocker indices of the 'dose-effect' curves
and the increase of mutability in proportion to some part of
the applied dose can be explained by the increase of accumu-
lation coefficients.

It is shown (24) that the radionuclide Sr-90 is being
included into chromosomes replacing Ca there. It is necessary
to go on with wide studies of genetic effects of the inclusion
of Sr-90 into the chromosomes of somatic and germ cells
in animals and plants.

## CONCLUSION

The experimental data of this work were obtained by the
authors in experiments on the effect of different types of
ionizing radiations on the hereditary structures in cells of
monkeys, mice, Drosophila, on human chromosomes in tissue cul-
tures as well as on the mutagenic effect of the radionuclide
Sr-90 in experiments in unicellate green algae. These data
give a quantative and qualitative estimate of the genetic
effect of small doses of radiation.

The genetic effect of small doses of radiation is of a
clear cumulative nature. The experiments on fractioned and
chronic irradiations with the above-mentioned dose rates at
the level of small doses did not show the phenomena of the re-
paration of genetic injuries. Moreover, there are some cases
which indicate that small doses are relatively (per 1 rad) more
efficient with regard to cytogenetic injuries. Presently it
should be considered a proved fact that all principal long-
life radionuclides left after nuclear explosions - $Cs^{137}$,
$Sr^{90}$ and $C^{14}$ - are certain to produce genetic effects.

The dose of energy which doubles the spontaneous muta-
tion process in man is 10-5 rads. It is found that the effi-
ciency of chemical protection against the damaging cytogene-
tic action of radiation undoubtedly depends on the dose of
radiation. It is proved that the tried protective chemicals
have no protective ability against small doses of radiation.

The qualitative determination of the genetic effect of
radiation suggests that any small dose produces a certain
quantity of mutations in propotion to a level of a treatment,
i.e. to the amount of energy which is absorbed by a cell.

It results in a certain change in human heredity which mani-
fests itself in man's progeny. For mankind as a whole the bur-
den of hereditary detects which result from the action of small
doses of radiation on germ cells is a quite significant magni-
tude (see 25). Hence it is clear that any uncontrolled increa-
se of the level of radiation in mankind's environment should
be forbidden. First of all it concerns tests of atomic and
nuclear weapon.

The atomic energy as a whole should serve peaceful purpo-
ses. Its use in genetics and breeding will make it possible
to control the heredity of plants, animals, microorganisms
and viruses.

As to the heredity of man there are only damaging gene-
tic effects of radiation there. Hence our task is to take
all measures against the increase of the background of radio-
activity, to work out and to take protective measures against
damaging genetic effects of all the kinds of ionizing radia-
tions.

The discovery of the fact that all available forms of
chemical protection are not probably efficient against small
doses makes us to look for an absolutely new approach to the
problem of the protection of man's heredity against the chro-
nic effect of small radiation doses. This discovery makes the
problem of the genetic effect of small doses of radiation on
heredity especially urgent. It is necessary to turn atten-
tion of the uptodate radiobiology to the problem of the most
accurate and full appraisal of genetic effects of small ra-
diation doses on heredity.

It is necessary to undertake large-scale investigations
in order to disclose the nature of primary mechanisms of ra-
diomutagenesis at molecular and chromosomal levels. The
latter is necessary in order to find new approaches to the
problem of the efficient chemical protection of hereditary
sturctures agains the damaging effect of radiations.

----------

Table 1

Occurrence of sex-linked recessive lethal and sublethal mutations
induced in male gametes of Drosophila melanogaster D-32 strain
irradiated with small doses of different types of ionizing radiations –
without natural mutations

| Type of radiation | Dose (rad) | Type of irradiation treatment | Spermia | | Spermatids | | Spermatogonia | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Number of studied chromosomes | Induced mutations ($\% \pm m$) | Number of studied chromosomes | Induced mutations ($\% \pm m$) | Number of studied chromosomes | Induced mutations ($\% \pm m$) |
| $\gamma$ -rays | 20 | Single | 12889 | $0.105 \pm 0.040$ | 13632 | $0.141 \pm 0.048$ | – | – |
| Co-60 | 20 | Fractioned (5 rads x 4) | 11306 | $0.162 \pm 0.051$ | 13154 | $0.156 \pm 0.050$ | – | – |
| Fast | 20 | Single | 9700 | $0.259 \pm 0.060$ | 8673 | $0.221 \pm 0.061$ | 9638 | $0.084 \pm 0.026$ |
| neutrons | 20 | Fractioned (5 rads x 4) | 9581 | $0.242 \pm 0.058$ | 13980 | $0.199 \pm 0.053$ | – | – |
| 1 Mev | 8 | Fractioned (2 rads x 4) | 24802 | $0.047 \pm 0.031$ | 24014 | $0.116 \pm 0.037$ | 13840 | $0.018 \pm 0.033$ |
| Protons 126-130 Mev | 20 | Fractioned (5 rads x 4) | 8403 | $0.125 \pm 0.065$ | 3540 | $0.084 \pm 0.085$ | 1824 | $0.157 \pm 0.112$ |

386

Table IIa

Comparative radiogenetic effect of X-rays in
spermatocytes I in monkeys and mice at the dose of 10,
25,50-400 r

| | | Diakinesis metaphase I | Diplo- nema | Late pachy- nema | Middle pachy- nema | Early pachy- nema |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| In average 1 r | Monkeys | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.14 |
| | Mouse | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 |

Table IIb

Comparative radiosensitivity in monkeys
and mice by the occurrence of symmetric transloca-
tions (dose - 50 r )

| Kariotypes | Translocations - percentage | |
|---|---|---|
| | Monkeys | Mouse |
| Normal: 20 bivalents in mice, 21 bivalents in monkeys | 64 | 81 |
| One translocation (19 bi- valents im mice, 20 bi- valents in monreys) | 22 | 13 |
| Two translocations (18 bivalents in mice, 19 bi- valents in monkeys) | 4 | 1.3 |
| Complex translocation and other types of changes | 10 | 4.7 |
| Changes - total | 36 | 19 |

Table III

386

**M**utations induced by Sr$^{90}$ in Chlorella strain
LARG-1

| Dose mqcurie/ml/day | Colonies investi-gated | Mutations observed | | | | Total | Mutations % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | dwarf | pigment | spotted | morphological | | |
| Control 0.0 | 5342 | 9 | 45 | 15 | 6 | 75 | 1.40$^{\pm}$0.16 |
| 1.0 | 4118 | 8 | 38 | 8 | 3 | 54 | 1.31$^{\pm}$0.17 |
| 3.0 | 5034 | 18 | 78 | 17 | 4 | 117 | 2.34$^{\pm}$0.21 |
| 5.0 | 5553 | 26 | 56 | 29 | 6 | 117 | 2.10$^{\pm}$0.19 |
| 7.0 | 3918 | 7 | 74 | 13 | 3 | 97 | 2.46$^{\pm}$0.25 |
| 8.5 | 1923 | 12 | 39 | 10 | 3 | 64 | 3.30$^{\pm}$0.41 |
| 10.0 | 7098 | 31 | 212 | 53 | 33 | 329 | 4.65$^{\pm}$0.25 |
| 12.0 | 1254 | 9 | 42 | 10 | 5 | 67 | 5.27$^{\pm}$0.63 |

306

## REFERENCES

1. Глембоцкий Я.Л., Абелева Э.А., Лапкин Ю.А., Сб.Радиационная генетика,М.(1962).

2. Абелева Э.А., Лапкин Ю.А., Радиобиология, Ш, вып. 3 (1963)

3. Guyenot E., Thelin L., Kiortsis V. Arch.fur Vererbungforschung. Sozialantropologie, Rassenhygiene, 34(1959) 256

4. Shiomi T., Inagaki E., Tachibana H., Nakao J. Mutation rates at low level irradiation in Drosophila melangaster (a preliminary report) (1961).

5. Uphoff D.E., and Stern C. Science, 109 (1949) 609.

6. Spencer W.P. and Stern C. Genetics , 33, 1 (1948) 43.

7. Арсеньева М.А.,Дубинин Н.П., и др. Доклад.АН СССР,141(1961),1486.

8. Oakberg E.F., Amer.J.Anat.., 99 (1956) 391.

9. Тиняков Г.Г., Арсеньева М.А., Докл. АН СССР,122 (1958),584

10. Арсеньева М.А., Тиняков Г.Г. и др. СбРадиационная генетика, Изд. АН СССР,М.(1962), 287

11. Дубинин Н.П., Арсеньева и др. Сб.Радиационная генетика, Изд.АНСССР М.(1962), 287.

12. Арсеньева М.А., Бочков Н.П., Радиобиология,3,вып.4 (1963),563.

13. Slizyncki B., Genetics, 55, 1 (1957), 122

14. Bootsma D. Intern. J.Rad.Biology, 6 (1963) 188

15. Chu E.H.F., Giles N.H., Passano K. Proc. Nat.Acad.Sci., 47(1961) 830.

16. Дубинин Н.П., Дубинина Л.Г., Радиобиология, 2 (1963) 181

17. Дубинин Н.П., Дубинина Л.Г., Радиобиология, 3(1963) 833

18. Дубинин Н.П. Докл. АН СССР, 122 (1958) 713

19. Дубинин Н.П.: Керкис Ю.Я., Лебедева Л.И., Сб.Радиационная генетика, Изд.АН СССР (1962)

20. Bacq Z.M., Alexander P. Fundumentals of Radiobiology Perg.Press (1961)

21. Сусликов В.И., Радиобиология, 3, вып. 2 (1963) 247-256.

22. Аникеева И.Д., Ваулина Э.Н., Шевченко В.А., Радиобиология (in press

23. Glocker R. Strahlentherapie, 48, 1 (1933)

24. Steffensen D.Cell Nucleus (1960) 216-221.

25. Дубинин Н.П., Проблемы радиационной генетики.Атомиздат,М.(1962)

- 19 -

γ- rays of C⁰⁰

386
525
810
140

Sperms

705
700
419

Spermatids

Fast Neutrons 1 Mev

1118
1270
202

Sperms

467
1288
450

Spermatids

Protons 126-130 Mev

625
120

Sperms

420
152

Spermatids

Fig.1
The quantity of sex-linked
recessive lethal mutations
induced by 1 rad per $10^7$ gamete
at small doses and at the
dose of 1000 rads in one
treatment

▨ acute irradiation with 20 rads dose

▨ fractional irradiation with 20 rads dose (5 rads × 4)

☐ acute irradiation with 1000 rads dose.

■ monkey
▨ mouse

25 r          10 r

M-D    D    M.P.        M-D    D    M.P.

Percentage of chromosomal aberrations at first meiotic division after
irradiation with 25 and 10 r of primary spermatocytes of mouse and monkey.

Fig. 2

Fig. 3 Change of the mitotic activity in the culture of human cells
after gamma-radiation at the dose of 5-20 r and 25-50 r



Fig. 4
The "dose-effect" curves
for four Chlorella strains
(LARG-1, LARG-2 and 36)
treated with gamma-radi-
ation of strontium-90